

Beltline TADAC Development SubCommittee Meeting Minutes for October 4, 2017

In Attendance: Sharita Beamon, Saskia Benjamin, Jared Evans, Bakari Height, Denise Messick, Theo Pace, Tom Tidwell,

Absent: Danielle Carney, Zach Gober, Arthur Lee, Theron Jones, Octavia Vogel

Also in Attendance: Lucy Bigham, Chris Koch

ABI Staff in attendance: Nathan Soldat

6pm – Welcome & Introductions

6:05 – BAHAB Update from August 8

- Persons assigned to attend the BAHAB meeting were not present at subcommittee meeting. No report was made.
- Put on the agenda for the next subcommittee meeting.

6:06 – DRC Updates

- In the absence of the TADAC liaison to DRC, no report was given.

6:07 – 6:55 – Work Session

1. The subcommittee identified the following issues as having relevance in determining whether or not the BeltLine is being implemented equitably and efficiently:
 - Historic Preservation – Denise Messick
 - Displacement (jobs/businesses/residents) & Affordable Housing – Tom Tidwell
 - Housing prices
 - Rental Rates
 - Health and Wellness (usage) – not assigned
 - Transportation Access (trailheads/bike shares) + Pedestrian and Streetscape Improvements – Bakari Height
 - Property Acquisition – Sharita
 - Environmental Concerns (greenspace/brownfield remediation) – Denise Messick
 - Economic Development (retail/jobs/housing) & Job Growth – not assigned
 - Community Engagement – Theo Pace
 - Arts & Culture – Saskia Benjamin
 - Land Use – Bakari Height
 - Allocation of funds (grant or otherwise) – Saskia Benjamin
 - Community Benefits Guiding Principles – Saskia Benjamin
 - Public Safety – not assigned
2. Subcommittee members whose names appear after a subject area volunteered to identify a working list of things that could be measured in that subject area, e.g. Historic Preservation – the number of buildings/facades preserved or Public Safety – the number, types, and locations of crimes recorded around the BeltLine.
3. These lists will be vetted at the next Development Subcommittee. Vetting will look at a number of factors including whether or not the metric is a solid indicator of equity and efficiency; whether the question itself is equitable; how easily or readily the metric can be measured; and whether or not ABI or another entity is tracking the metric. Then the metrics/questions will be ranked and prioritized.

6:56 – 6:57 – Public Comment

- No public comment

6:57 – 6:59 – Voting

- Agenda for subcommittee meeting was approved unanimously
- Public minutes for June 7 were approved unanimously
- Minutes from the August 2 meeting, both public and internal, were approved unanimously

7:00 – Meeting Adjourned